arXiv:1511.06628v1 [math.CA] 19 Nov 2015

A Dunkl generalization of q-parametric Szász-Mirakjan operators

M. Mursaleen and **Md. Nasiruzzaman**
Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh–202002, India
mursaleenm@gmail.com; nasir3489@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we construct a linear positive operators q-parametric Szász Mirakjan operators generated by the q-Dunkl generalization of the exponential function. We obtain Korovkin's type approximation theorem for these operators and compute convergence of these operators by using the modulus of continuity. Furthermore, the rate of convergence of the operators for functions belonging to the Lipschitz class is presented..

Keywords and phrases: (q)-integers; Dunkl analogue; generating functions; generalization of exponential function; Szász operator; modulus of continuity.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): Primary 41A25; 41A36; Secondary 33C45.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1912, S.N Bernstein [5] introduced the following sequence of operators $B_n : C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ defined for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $f \in C[0,1]$ such as

$$B_n(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \ x \in [0,1]. \tag{1.1}$$

In 1950, for $x \geq 0$, Szász [32] introduced the operators

$$S_n(f;x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \ f \in C[0,\infty). \tag{1.2}$$

In the field of approximation theory, the application of q-calculus emerged as a new area in the field of approximation theory from last two decades. q-calculus plays an important role in the natural sciences such as mathematics, physics and chemistry. It has many applications in number theory, orthogonal polynomials and quantum theory, etc. The development of q-calculus has led to the discovery of various modifications of Bernstein polynomials involving q-integers. The aim of these generalizations is to provide an appropriate and powerful tools to application areas such as numerical analysis, computer-aided geometric design and solutions of differential equations.

The first q-analogue of the well-known Bernstein polynomials was introduced by Lupaş [13] by applying the idea of q-integers in year 1987. In 1997 Phillips considered another q-analogue of the classical Bernstein polynomials [26]. Later on, many authors introduced q-generalization of various operators and investigated several approximation properties. For instance, q- Baskakov-Kantorovich operators in [9]; q-Szász-Mirakjan operators in [25]; q-analogue of Baskakov and

Baskakov-Kantorovich operators in [15]; q-analogue of Bernstein-Kantorovich operators in [27]; q-analogue of Stancu-Beta operators in [2, 17]; q-analogue of Szász-Kantorovich operators in [16]; q-Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators in [3, 8]; and q-analogue of generalized Bernstein-Shurer operators in [18].
The q-integer $[n]_q$, the q-factorial $[n]_q!$ and the q-binomial coefficient are defined by (see [1, 11])

$$[n]_q := \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}, & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\} \\ n, & \text{if } q = 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } [0]_q = 0,$$

$$[n]_q! := \begin{cases} [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, & n \geq 1, \\ 1, & n = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!},$$

respectively.
The q-analogue of $(1+x)^n$ is the polynomial

$$(1+x)_q^n := \begin{cases} (1+x)(1+qx)\cdots(1+q^{n-1}x) & n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \\ 1 & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

A q-analogue of the common Pochhammer symbol also called a q-shifted factorial is defined as

$$(x;q)_0 = 1, \ (x;q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(1-q^j x), \ (x;q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-q^j x).$$

The Gauss binomial formula:

$$(x+a)_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q q^{k(k-1)/2} a^k x^{n-k}.$$

The $q-$analogue of Bernstein operators in [26] defined as follows:

$$B_{n,q}(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q x^k \prod_{s=0}^{n-k-1}(1-q^s x) \ f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[m]_q}\right), \ x \in [0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.3}$$

There are two q-analogue of the exponential function e^z, defined as (see also [12])

For $\mid z \mid < \frac{1}{1-q}$ and $\mid q \mid < 1$,

$$e(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} = \frac{1}{1-((1-q)z)_q^\infty}, \tag{1.4}$$

and for $\mid q \mid < 1$,

$$E(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1+(1-q)q^j z\right)_q^\infty = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{z^k}{k!} = (1+(1-q)z)_q^\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $(1-x)_q^\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-q^j x)$.
In [4] q-Szász-Mirakjan operators were defined as follows:

$$S_{n,q}(f;x) := E\left(-\frac{[n]_q x}{b_n}\right)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{[k]_q b_n}{[n]_q}\right)\frac{[n]_q^k x^k}{[k]_q! b_n^k}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $0 \leq x < \frac{b_n}{(1-q)[n]_q}$, $f \in C[0,\infty)$ and $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \infty$. Since from the structural point of view the operators defined in (1.6) have the convergence properties similar to the Bernstein-Chlodowsky operators. Someone refer these operators to q-parametric Szász-Mirakjan-Chlodowsky operators and define q-parametric Szász-Mirakjan operators [14]:
For $x \geq 0$, $f \in C[0,\infty), \mu \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Sucu [31] defined a Dunkl analogue of Szász operators via a generalization of the exponential function given by [28] as follows:

$$S_n^*(f;x) := \frac{1}{e_\mu(nx)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(nx)^k}{\gamma_\mu(k)} f\left(\frac{k+2\mu\theta_k}{n}\right), \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$e_\mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)}.$$

Also here

$$\gamma_\mu(2k) = \frac{2^{2k}k!\Gamma\left(k+\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)},$$

and

$$\gamma_\mu(2k+1) = \frac{2^{2k+1}k!\Gamma\left(k+\mu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

There is given a recursion for γ_μ

$$\gamma_\mu(k+1) = (k+1+2\mu\theta_{k+1})\gamma_\mu(k),\ k = 0,1,2,\cdots,$$

where

$$\theta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1 \end{cases}$$

Ben Cheikh et al. [6] stated the q-Dunkl classical q-Hermite type polynomials. They gave definitions of q-Dunkl analogues of exponential functions, recursion relations and notaions for $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < q < 1$, respectively.

$$e_{\mu,q}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(n)},\ x \in [0,\infty) \tag{1.8}$$

$$E_{\mu,q}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}x^n}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(n)},\ x \in [0,\infty) \tag{1.9}$$

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(n+1) = \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_{n+1}+n+1}}{1-q}\right)\gamma_{\mu,q}(n),\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1. \end{cases}$$

An explicit formula for $\gamma_{\mu,q}(n)$ is

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(n) = \frac{(q^{2\mu+1},q^2)_{[\frac{n+1}{2}]}(q^2,q^2)_{[\frac{n}{2}]}}{(1-q)^n}\gamma_{\mu,q}(n),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And some of the special cases of $\gamma_{\mu,q}(n)$ defined as:

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(0) = 1,\ \gamma_{\mu,q}(1) = \frac{1-q^{2\mu+1}}{1-q},\ \gamma_{\mu,q}(2) = \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu+1}}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(3) = \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu+1}}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu+3}}{1-q}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(4) = \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu+1}}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu+3}}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q^4}{1-q}\right).$$

In [10], Gürhan Içöz gave a Dunkl generalization of Szász operators via q-calculs as:

$$D_{n,q}(f;x) = \frac{1}{e_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} f\left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{1-q^n}\right). \tag{1.11}$$

In this paper, we define a Dunkl generalization of q-parametric Szász-Mirakjan operators [14]:

For any $x \in [0,\infty),\ f \in C[0,\infty)\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ 0<q<1$, and $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$, we define

$$D^*_{n,q}(f;x) = \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} f\left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)}\right). \tag{1.12}$$

Recently, Mursaleen et al. [19] applied (p,q)-calculus in approximation, which is a generalization of q-calculus. They introduced first (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein operators. The (p,q)-calculus, $0<q<p\leq 1$ in which for $p=1$, (p,q)-integers reduce to q-integers. They have also introduced and studied approximation properties of (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein-Schurer operators [20], Bernstein-Stancu operators[21], Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operators [22], bivariate Bleimann Butzer-Hahn operators [23] and higher order generalization of Bernstein type opertaors [24]. For details on q and (p,q)-calculus refer to [1, 29, 30].

2. Main results

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D^*_{n,q}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operators given by (1.12), then we have the following identities:*

(1) $D^*_{n,q}(e_0;x) = 1$
(2) $D^*_{n,q}(e_1;x) = qx$
(3) $qx^2 + \frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1-2\mu]_q x \leq D^*_{n,q}(e_2;x) \leq qx^2 + \frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1+2\mu]_q x,$

where $e_j(t) = t^j,\ j = 0,1,2,\cdots$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let the operators $D^*_{n,q}(.\ ;\ .)$ be given by (1.12), then we have the following identities:*

(1) $D^*_{n,q}(e_1-1;x) = qx-1$
(2) $D^*_{n,q}(e_1-x;x) = (q-1)x$
(3) $(1-q)x^2+\frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1-2\mu]_q x \le D^*_{n,q}((e_1-x)^2;x) \le (1-q)x^2+\frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1+2\mu]_q x.$

Korovkin type approximation properties.

We obtain the Korovkin's type approximation properties for our operators defined by (1.12).

Let $C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0,\infty)$, which is linear normed space with

$$\| f \|_{C_B} = \sup_{x\ge 0} | f(x) | .$$

And also let

$$H := \{f : x \in [0,\infty), \frac{f(x)}{1+x^2} \text{ is convergent as } x \to \infty\}.$$

In order to obtain the convergence results for the operators $D^*_{n,q}(.,.)$, we take $q = q_n$ where $q_n \in (0,1)$ and satisfying,

$$\lim_n q_n \to 1,\ \lim_n q_n^n \to a \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $q = q_n$ satisfying (2.1), for $0 < q_n < 1$ and if $D^*_{n,q_n}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operators given by (1.12). Then for any function $f \in X[0,\infty) \cap H$,*

$$D^*_{n,q_n}(f;x) = f(x)$$

is uniformly on each compact subset of $[0,\infty)$.

Proof. The proof is based on the well known Korovkin's theorem regarding the convergence of a sequence of linear and positive operators, so it is enough to prove the conditions

$$D^*_{n,q_n}((e_j;x) = x^j,\ j = 0,1,2,\ \{\text{as } n \to \infty\}$$

uniformly on $[0,1]$.
Clearly from (2.1) and $\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \to 0,\ (n \to \infty)$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D^*_{n,q_n}(e_1;x) = x,\ \lim_{n\to\infty} D^*_{n,q_n}(e_2;x) = x^2.$$

Which complete the proof. □

We recall the weighted spaces of the functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$, which are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+) &= \{f :| f(x) | \le M_f \rho(x)\}, \\ Q_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+) &= \left\{f : f \in P_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C[0,\infty)\right\}, \\ Q^k_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+) &= \left\{f : f \in Q_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+) \text{ and } \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} = k (k \text{ is a constant})\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(x) = 1 + x^2$ is a weight function and M_f is a constant depending only on f. And $Q_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a normed space with the norm $\| f \|_\rho = \sup_{x\geq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $q = q_n$ satisfying (2.1), for $0 < q_n < 1$ and if $D^*_{n,q_n}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operators given by (1.12). Then for any function $f \in Q^k_\rho(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| D^*_{n,q_n}(f;x) - f \|_\rho = 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, the first condition of (1) is fulfilled for $\tau = 0$. Now for $\tau = 1, 2$ it is easy to see that from (2), (3) of Lemma 2.1 by using (2.1)

$$\| D^*_{n,q_n}(e_1)^\tau; x) - x^\tau \|_\rho = 0.$$

This complete the proof.

□

Rate of Convergence.

Here we calculate the rate of convergence of operators (1.12) by means of modulus of continuity and Lipschitz type maximal functions.

Let $f \in C[0,\infty]$, and the modulus of continuity of f denoted by $\omega(f,\delta)$ gives the maximum oscillation of f in any interval of length not exceeding $\delta > 0$ and it is given by the relation

$$\omega(f,\delta) = \sup_{|y-x|\leq\delta} | f(y) - f(x) |,\ x, y \in [0,\infty). \tag{2.2}$$

It is known that $\lim_{\delta\to 0+} \omega(f,\delta) = 0$ for $f \in C[0,\infty)$ and for any $\delta > 0$ one has

$$| f(y) - f(x) | \leq \left(\frac{| y - x |}{\delta} + 1 \right) \omega(f,\delta). \tag{2.3}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $q = q_n$ satisfy (2.1) for $x \geq 0,\ 0 < q_n < 1$ and if $D^*_{n,q_n}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operators defined by (1.12). Then for any function $f \in \tilde{C}[0,\infty)$, we have*

$$| D^*_{n,q_n}(f;x) - f(x) | \leq \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{(1-q_n)[n]_{q_n} x^2 + q_n^{2(1+\mu)}[1+2\mu]_{q_n} x} \right\} \omega\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n]_{q_n}}} \right),$$

where $\tilde{C}[0,\infty)$ is the space of uniformly continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\omega(f,\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of the function $f \in \tilde{C}[0,\infty)$ defined in (2.6).

Proof. We prove it by using the result (2.6),(2.7) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:
$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x) - f(x) \mid$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left| f\left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)} \right) - f(x) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)} \right) - x \right| \right\} \omega(f;\delta) \\
&= \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left| \frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)} - x \right| \right) \right\} \omega(f;\delta) \\
&\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)} - x \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(D^*_{n,q}(e_0;x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \omega(f;\delta) \\
&= \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left(D^*_{n,q}(e_1 - x)^2; x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \omega(f;\delta) \\
&\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{(1-q)x^2 + \frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q} [1+2\mu]_q x} \right\} \omega(f;\delta),
\end{aligned}
$$

if we choose $\delta = \delta_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{[n]_q}}$, then we get our result. □

Now we give the rate of convergence of the operators $D^*_{n,q}(f;x)$ defined in (1.12) in terms of the elements of the usual Lipschitz class $Lip_M(\nu)$.

Let $f \in C[0,\infty)$, $M > 0$ and $0 < \nu \leq 1$. We recall that f belongs to the class $Lip_M(\nu)$ if

$$Lip_M(\nu) = \{ f :\mid f(\zeta_1) - f(\zeta_2) \mid \leq M \mid \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \mid^{\nu} \ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in [0,\infty)) \} \tag{2.4}$$

is satisfied.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $D^*_{n,q}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operator defined in (1.12). Then for each $f \in Lip_M(\nu)$, $(M > 0,\ 0 < \nu \leq 1)$ satisfying (2.4) we have*

$$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x) - f(x) \mid \leq M \left(\lambda_n(x) \right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}$$

where $\lambda_n(x) = D^*_{n,q}\left((e_1 - x)^2; x \right)$.

Proof. We prove it by using the result (2.4) and Hölder inequality:

$$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x) - f(x) \mid \leq \mid D^*_{n,q}(f(e_1) - f(x); x) \mid \leq D^*_{n,q}\left(\mid f(e_1) - f(x) \mid ; x \right) \leq \mid M D^*_{n,q}\left(\mid e_1 - x \mid^{\nu}; x \right).$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x) - f(x) \mid \\
&\leq M \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left| \frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)} - x \right|^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq M\frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{([n]_q x)^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}\right)^{\frac{2-\nu}{2}}\left(\frac{([n]_q x)^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\left|\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)}-x\right|^{\nu}$$

$$\leq M\left(\frac{1}{(E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x))}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n]_q x)^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}\right)^{\frac{2-\nu}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{(E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x))}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n]_q x)^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}\left|\frac{1-q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}}{q^{k-2}(1-q^n)}-x\right|^2\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}$$

$$\leq M\left(D^*_{n,q}(e_1-x)^2;x\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}.$$

Which complete the proof. □

We have $C_B[0,\infty)$ is the space of all bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+=[0,\infty)$ and

$$C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)=\{g\in C_B(\mathbb{R}^+): g', g''\in C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)\}, \tag{2.5}$$

with the norm

$$\| g \|_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}=\| g \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\| g' \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\| g'' \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \tag{2.6}$$

also

$$\| g \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^+}| g(x) |. \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $D^*_{n,q}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operator defined in (1.12). Then for any $g\in C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have*

$$| D^*_{n,q}(f;x)-f(x) |\leq\left((q-1)x+\frac{\lambda_n(x)}{2}\right)\| g \|_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}$$

where $\lambda_n(x)$ is given in Theorem 2.6.

Proof. Let $g\in C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then by using the generalized mean value theorem in the Taylor series expansion we have

$$g(e_1)=g(x)+g'(x)(e_1-x)+g''(\psi)\frac{(e_1-x)^2}{2},\ \psi\in(x,e_1).$$

By applying linearity property on $D^*_{n,q}$, we have

$$D^*_{n,q}(g,x)-g(x)=g'(x)D^*_{n,q}\left((e_1-x);x\right)+\frac{g''(\psi)}{2}D^*_{n,q}\left((e_1-x)^2;x\right),$$

which imply that,

$$| D^*_{n,q}(g;x)-g(x) |\leq(q-1)x\| g' \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\left((1-q)x^2+\frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1+2\mu]_q x\right)\frac{\| g'' \|_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}}{2}$$

From (2.6) we have $\| g' \|_{C_B[0,\infty)}\leq\| g \|_{C_B^2[0,\infty)}$.

$$| D^*_{n,q}(g;x)-g(x) |\leq(q-1)x\| g \|_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\left((1-q)x^2+\frac{q^{2(1+\mu)}}{[n]_q}[1+2\mu]_q x\right)\frac{\| g \|_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}}{2}.$$

This complete the proof from 3 of Lemma 2.2. □

The Peetre's K-functional is defined by

$$K_2(f,\delta)=\inf_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left\{\left(\parallel f-g\parallel_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\delta\parallel g''\parallel_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right):g\in\mathcal{W}^2\right\},\tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}^2=\left\{g\in C_B(\mathbb{R}^+):g',g''\in C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)\right\}.\tag{2.9}$$

Then there exits a positive constant $C>0$ such that $K_2(f,\delta)\leq C\omega_2(f,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}),\ \delta>0$, where the second order modulus of continuity is given by

$$\omega_2(f,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})=\sup_{0<h<\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^+}\mid f(x+2h)-2f(x+h)+f(x)\mid.\tag{2.10}$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $D^*_{n,q}(.\ ;\ .)$ be the operator defined in (1.12) and $C_B[0,\infty)$ is the space of all bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Then for $x\in\mathbb{R}^+,\ f\in C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have*

$$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x)-f(x)\mid\leq 2M\left\{\omega_2\left(f;\sqrt{\frac{2x(q-1)+\lambda_n(x)}{4}}\right)+\min\left(1,\frac{2x(q-1)+\lambda_n(x)}{4}\right)\parallel f\parallel_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right\}$$

where M is a positive constant, $\lambda_n(x)$ is given in Theorem 2.6 and $\omega_2(f;\delta)$ is the second order modulus of continuity of the function f defined in (2.10).

Proof. We prove this by using the Theorem (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x)-f(x)\mid &\leq \mid D^*_{n,q}(f-g;x)\mid+\mid D^*_{n,q}(g;x)-g(x)\mid+\mid f(x)-g(x)\mid\\ &\leq 2\parallel f-g\parallel_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+(q-1)x\parallel g\parallel_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\frac{\lambda_n(x)}{2}\parallel g\parallel_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) clearly we have $\parallel g\parallel_{C_B[0,\infty)}\leq\parallel g\parallel_{C_B^2[0,\infty)}$.
Therefore,

$$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x)-f(x)\mid\leq 2\left(\parallel f-g\parallel_{C_B(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\frac{2x(q-1)+\lambda_n(x)}{4}\parallel g\parallel_{C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right),$$

where $\lambda_n(x)$ is given in Theorem 2.6.

By taking infimum over all $g\in C_B^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and by using (2.8), we get

$$\mid D^*_{n,q}(f;x)-f(x)\mid\leq 2K_2\left(f;\frac{2x(q-1)+\lambda_n(x)}{4}\right)$$

Now for an absolute constant $C>0$ in [7] we use the relation

$$K_2(f;\delta)\leq C\{\omega_2(f;\sqrt{\delta})+\min(1,\delta)\parallel f\parallel\}.$$

Which complete the proof. □

3. Construction of bivariate operators

In this section, we construct a bivariate extension of the operators (1.12).

Let $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$, $f : C(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < q_{n_1}, q_{n_2} < 1$. We define the bivariate extension of the Dunkl q-parametric Szász-Mirakjan operators (1.12) as follows:

$$D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = \frac{1}{E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)}\frac{1}{E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}$$

$$\times\, q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}} q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}} f\left(\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})}, \frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}},\ E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y) = \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $e_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to [0,\infty)$ such that $e_{i,j} = (uv)^{ij}$, $i,j = 0,1,2,\cdots$ be the two dimensional test functions. Then the q-bivariate operators defined in (3.1) satisfy the following identities:*

(1) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,0};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = 1$
(2) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{1,0};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = q_{n_1}x$
(3) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,1};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = q_{n_2}y$
(4) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{2,0};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) \le q_{n_1}x^2 + \frac{q_{n_1}^{2(1+\mu_1)}}{[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}}[1+2\mu_1]_{q_{n_1}}x$
(5) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,2};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) \le q_{n_2}y^2 + \frac{q_{n_2}^{2(1+\mu_2)}}{[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}}[1+2\mu_2]_{q_{n_2}}y.$

Lemma 3.2. *The q-bivariate operators defined in (3.1) satisfy the following identities.*

(1) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{1,0}-x;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = (q_{n_1}-1)\,x$
(2) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,1}-y;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y) = (q_{n_2}-1)\,y$
(3) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}\left((e_{1,0}-x)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right) \le (1-q_{n_1})\,x^2 + \frac{q_{n_1}^{2(1+\mu_1)}}{[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}}[1+2\mu_1]_{q_{n_1}}x$
(4) $D^*_{n_1,n_2}\left((e_{0,1}-y)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right) \le (1-q_{n_2})\,y^2 + \frac{q_{n_2}^{2(1+\mu_2)}}{[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}}[1+2\mu_2]_{q_{n_2}}y.$

Rate of Convergence.

The rate of convergence of operators $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)$ defined in (3.1) by means of modulus of continuity of some bivariate modulus of smoothness functions are introduced.

In order to obtain the convergence results for the operators $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)$, we take $q=q_{n_1},\ q_{n_2}$ where $q_{n_1},q_{n_2}\in(0,1)$ and satisfying,

$$\lim_{n_1,n_2} q_{n_1},q_{n_2}\to 1 \tag{3.2}$$

The modulus of continuity for bivariate case is defined as follows:

For $f\in H_\omega(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$
$\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_1,\delta_2)$

$$=\sup_{u,x\geq 0}\left\{\left|f(u,v)-f(x,y)\right|;\ \left|u-x\right|\leq\delta_1,\left|v-y\right|\leq\delta_2,\ (u,v)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+,\ (x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+\right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

where $H_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of all real-valued continuous functions f.
Then for all $f\in H_\omega(\mathbb{R}_+)$ $\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_1,\delta_2)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\lim_{\delta_1,\delta_2\to 0}\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_1,\delta_2)\to 0$
(ii) $\mid f(u,v)-f(x,y)\mid\leq\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_1,\delta_2)\left(\frac{|u-x|}{\delta_1}+1\right)\left(\frac{|v-y|}{\delta_2}+1\right).$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $q_n=q_{n_1},q_{n_2}$ satisfy (3.2) and if for $(x,y)\in[0,\infty),\ 0<q_{n_1},q_{n_2}<1$, and suppose $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2},x,y)$ be the operators defined by (3.1). Then for any function $f\in\tilde{C}([0,\infty)\times[0,\infty))$, we have*
$\mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2},x,y)-f(x,y)\mid$

$$\leq\ \omega\left(f;\frac{1}{\sqrt{[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}}}\right)\left(1+\sqrt{(1-q_{n_1})[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x^2+q_{n_1}^{2(1+\mu_1)}[1+2\mu_1]_{q_{n_1}}x}\right)$$
$$\times\ \left(1+\sqrt{(1-q_{n_2})[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y^2+q_{n_2}^{2(1+\mu_2)}[1+2\mu_2]_{q_{n_2}}y}\right),$$

where $\tilde{C}[0,\infty)$ is the space of uniformly continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(f,\delta_{n_1},\delta_{n_2})$ is the modulus of continuity of the function $f\in\tilde{C}([0,\infty)\times[0,\infty))$ defined in (3.3).

Proof. We prove it by using the result modulus of continuity and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mid D^{*}_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2},x,y)-f(x,y)\mid \\
&\leq \frac{1}{E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)}\frac{1}{E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}} \\
&\times \left|f\left(\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})},\frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}\right)-f(x,y)\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)}\frac{1}{E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}} \\
&\times \left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_1}}\left|\left(\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})}\right)-x\right|\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_2}}\left|\left(\frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}\right)-y\right|\right)\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_{n_1},\delta_{n_2}) \\
&\leq \left\{1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_1}}\left(\frac{1}{E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}\left(\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})}-x\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
&\times \left\{1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_2}}\left(\frac{1}{E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}}\left(\frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}-y\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
&\times \widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_{n_1},\delta_{n_2}) \\
&= \left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_1}}\left(D^{*}_{n_1,n_2}(e_{1,0}-x)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_2}}\left(D^{*}_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,1}-y)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
&\times \widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_{n_1},\delta_{n_2}) \\
&\leq \left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_1}}\sqrt{(1-q_{n_1})x^2+\frac{q_{n_1}^{2(1+\mu_1)}}{[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}}[1+2\mu_1]_{q_{n_1}}x}\right) \\
&\times \left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{n_2}}\sqrt{(1-q_{n_2})x^2+\frac{q_{n_2}^{2(1+\mu_2)}}{[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}}[1+2\mu_2]_{q_{n_2}}y}\right)\widetilde{\omega}(f;\delta_1,\delta_2).
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $\delta_1=\delta_{n_1}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{[n_1]_{q_{n_1}}}}$ and $\delta_2=\delta_{n_2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{[n_2]_{q_{n_2}}}}$ then we get our result. □

Now we give the rate of convergence of the operators $D^{*}_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)$ defined in (3.1) in terms of the elements of the usual Lipschitz class $Lip_M(\nu_1,\nu_2)$.

Let $f\in C([0,\infty)\times[0,\infty))$, $M>0$ and $0<\nu_1,\nu_2\leq 1$. We recall that f belongs to the class $Lip_M(\nu_1,\nu_2)$ if

$$
Lip_M(\nu_1,\nu_2)=\{f:\mid f(u,v)-f(x,y)\mid\leq M\mid u-x\mid^{\nu_1}\mid v-y\mid^{\nu_2}\ \ (u,v)\text{ and }(x,y)\in[0,\infty)\} \tag{3.4}
$$

is satisfied.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)$ be the operator defined in (3.1). Then for each $f \in Lip_M(\nu_1,\nu_2)$, $(M>0,\ 0<\nu_1,\nu_2\leq 1)$ satisfying (3.4) we have*

$$\mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)-f(x,y)\mid \leq M\left(\lambda_{n_1}(x)\right)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{n_2}(y)\right)^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}$$

where $\lambda_{n_1}(x)=D^*_{n_1,n_2}\left((e_{1,0}-x)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right),\ \lambda_{n_2}(y)=D^*_{n_1,n_2}\left((e_{0,1}-y)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right)$.

Proof. We prove it by using the result (3.4) and Hölder inequality:
$\mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2},x,y)-f(x,y)\mid$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f(u,v)-f(x,y);q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)\mid\\
&\leq D^*_{n_1,n_2}(\mid f(u,v)-f(x,y)\mid;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)\\
&\leq \mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(\mid e_{1,0}-x\mid^{\nu_1};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)\mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(\mid e_{0,1}-y\mid^{\nu_2};q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,
$\mid D^*_{n_1,n_2}(f;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y)-f(x,y)\mid$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M\frac{1}{E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}\left|\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})}-x\right|^{\nu_1}\\
&\times \frac{1}{E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}}\left|\frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}-y\right|^{\nu_2}\\
&\leq M\left(\frac{1}{\left(E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)\right)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}\right)^{\frac{2-\nu_1}{2}}\\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{\left(E_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)\right)}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_1]_{q_{n_1}}x)^{k_1}q_{n_1}^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu_1,q_{n_1}}(k_1)}\left|\frac{1-q_{n_1}^{2\mu_1\theta_{k_1}+k_1}}{q_{n_1}^{k_1-2}(1-q_{n_1}^{n_1})}-x\right|^2\right)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}}\\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{\left(E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)\right)}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}\right)^{\frac{2-\nu_2}{2}}\\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{\left(E_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)\right)}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{([n_2]_{q_{n_2}}y)^{k_2}q_{n_2}^{\frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2}}}{\gamma_{\mu_2,q_{n_2}}(k_2)}\left|\frac{1-q_{n_2}^{2\mu_2\theta_{k_2}+k_2}}{q_{n_2}^{k_2-2}(1-q_{n_2}^{n_2})}-y\right|^2\right)^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}\\
&\leq \left(D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{1,0}-x)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}}\left(D^*_{n_1,n_2}(e_{0,1}-y)^2;q_{n_1},q_{n_2};x,y\right)^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof. □

Acknowledgement. Second author (MN) acknowledges the financial support of University Grants Commission (Govt. of Ind.) for awarding BSR (Basic Scientific Research) Fellowship.

References

1. A. Aral, V. Gupta, R.P. Agarwal: Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory. Springer, New York, (2013).
2. A. Aral, V. Gupta: On q-analogue of Stancu-beta operators. Appl. Math. Letters, 25 67–71(2012).
3. A. Aral, O. Doğru: Bleimann Butzer and Hahn operators based on q-integers, J. Inequal. Appl., Art. ID 79410, 1–12(2007).
4. A. Aral, V. Gupta: The q-derivative and applications to q-Szász Mirakyan operators, Calcolo 43, no. 3, 151–170(2006).
5. S.N. Bernstein,: Démonstration du théoréme de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités, Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkow 2(13), 1–2(2012).
6. B. Cheikh, Y. Gaied and M. Zaghouani: A q-Dunkl-classical q-Hermite type polynomials. Georgian Math. J. 21(2), 125–137(2014).
7. A. Ciupa: A class of integral Favard-Szász type operators. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math. 40(1), 39–47 (1995).
8. S. Ersan, O. Doğru: Statistical approximation properties of q-Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators, Math. Comput. Modell., 49, 1595–1606(2009).
9. V. Gupta, C. Radu: Statistical approximation properties of q-Baskokov- Kantorovich operators, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 7 (4), 809–818(2009).
10. G. Içöz and Bayram Çekim: Dunkl generalization of Szász operators via q-calculus. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2015:284(2015).
11. V. Kac, P. Cheung: Quantum Calculus. Springer-Verlag New York, (2002).
12. V. Kac and P. Cheung: Quantum Calculu., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002).
13. A. Lupaş: A q-analogue of the Bernstein operator, in Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus (Cluj-Napoca). Preprint, 87-9 Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj. MR0956939 (90b:41026) pp. 85–92(1987).
14. N. I. Mahmudov: On q-Parametric Szász-Mirakjan Operators. Mediterr. J. Math. 7, 297-311(2010).
15. N.I. Mahmudov: Statistical approximation of Baskakov and Baskakov-Kantorovich operators based on the q-integers. Cent. Eur. Jour. Math., 8(4), 816–826(2010).
16. N.I. Mahmudov, V. Gupta: On certain q-analogue of Szász Kantorovich operators. J. Appl. Math. Comput., 37, 407–419(2011).
17. M. Mursaleen, A. Khan: Statistical approximation properties of modified q- Stancu-Beta operators. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), 36(3), 683–690(2013).
18. M. Mursaleen, A. Khan: Generalized q-Bernstein-Schurer operators and some approximation theorems. Journal of Function Spaces and Applications, Volume 2013, Article ID 719834, 7 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/719834.
19. M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari and A. Khan: On (p, q)-analogue of Bernstein operators. Appl. Math. Comput., 266, 874–882(2015).
20. M. Mursaleen, Md Nasiruzzaman and Ashirbayev Nurgali: Some approximation results on Bernstein-Schurer operators defined by (p, q)-integers. Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2015), 2015:249.
21. M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari and A. Khan: Some approximation results by (p, q)-analogue of Bernstein-Stancu operators, Appl. Math. Comput., 264, 392–402(2015).
22. M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, Asif Khan and Khursheed J. Ansari: Some approximation results on Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operators defined by (p, q)-integers, arXiv:1505.00392v1 [math.CA] 3 May(2015).
23. M. Mursaleen and Md. Nasiruzzaman: Some approximation results on bivariate Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operators defined by (p, q)-integers. arXiv:submit/1262394 [math.CA] 24 May (2015).

24. M. Mursaleen and Md. Nasiruzzaman: Some approximation results on higher order generalization of Bernstein type operators defined by (p, q)-integers. 1507.08479v2 [math.CA] 19 Aug (2015).
25. M. Örkcü and O. Doğru: Weighted statistical approximation by Kantorovich type q-Szász Mirakjan operators. Appl. Math. Comput., 217, 7913–7919(2011).
26. G.M. Phillips: Bernstein polynomials based on the q- integers, The heritage of P.L. Chebyshev, A Festschrift in honor of the 70th-birthday of Professor T. J. Rivlin. Ann. Numer. Math. 4, 511–518(1997).
27. C. Radu: Statistical approximation properties of Kantorovich operators based on q-integers, Creat. Math. Inform. 17(2), 75–84(2008).
28. M. Rosenblum: Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus. Oper. Theory, Adv. Appl. 73, 369-396(1994).
29. P. N. Sadjang: On the fundamental theorem of (p, q)-calculus and some (p, q)-Taylor formulas, arXiv:1309.3934 [math.QA].
30. V. Sahai and S. Yadav: Representations of two parameter quantum algebras and (p, q)-special functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335, 268–279(2007).
31. S. Sucu: Dunkl analogue of Szász operators. Appl. Math. Comput. 244, 42–48(2014).
32. O. Szász: Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 45, 239–245(1950).